## **December 2005 Update**

## Rose Park Sludge Pit Superfund Site Salt Lake City, UT (5-Year Review Date: 9/19/2002)

## Highlights Since the 2002 5-Year Report

• UDEQ receiving free-phase hydrocarbon monitoring reports from BP

**Brief Site History:** Rose Park Sludge Pit is a two-acre site in a Salt Lake City park. Local oil refineries discarded their petroleum wastes at the site. Refinery sludges are very acidic and contain polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and sulphur dioxide. Site health risks included the potential for burns to people and animals that came in contact with the sludge or breathed gases from the pit. There was also the potential for ground-water contamination from the unlined sludge pit. In 1982, EPA listed the site on the Superfund National Priorities List at the request of the State of Utah.

**Cleanup Activities Completed:** By 1985 the potentially responsible party (Amoco Oil Company) had completed the following cleanup activities:

- Construction of a slurry wall around the pit to isolate the wastes and prevent ground-water contamination.
- Installation of a clay cap on top of the pit to prevent the wastes from coming in contact with park visitors, and to keep water from seeping through the pit and contaminating ground water.
- For additional protection, traffic barriers were placed around the perimeter of the cap to prevent damage.
- Installation of several ground-water wells to monitor the on-going effectiveness of the slurry wall.

**Current Status:** This is the third five-year review conducted at the Rose Park Sludge Pit. The first two five-year reviews were completed on June 1, 1992 and August 5, 1997. At the time of this current five-year review the containment remedy is functioning as intended.

**Summary of Protectiveness:** The remedies at the Rose Park Sludge Pit are expected to be protective of human health and the environment. The cap was in good condition and all twenty monitoring wells on-site were secured and in good condition.

**Issues Impacting Protectiveness:** A few issues that do not immediately impact the protectiveness of the remedy were noted. The following table summarizes the status of the follow-up actions addressing these issues.

## Rose Park Sludge Pit Superfund Site Five-Year Review Update Table (Review Date: 9/19/2002)

Issues	Recommendations Follow-up Actions	Follow-up Actions (Status/Due date)	Status of Follow- up Actions 12/05	Responsible Party
1) Monitoring	- BP will measure	BP began annual monitoring	BP began	British
of free-phase	and monitor fph in	for fph in March 2002. The	annual	Petroleum
hydrocarbons	well MW-90-3S	data indicated fph thickness in	monitoring for	(BP)
(fph) in well	and report results	well MW-90-3S was 2.72 feet.	fph in March	
MW-90-3S	to UDEQ/DERR	As the results were similar to	2002. UDEQ	
	and the EPA.	past results in the well, UDEQ	received	
		does not have concerns about	reports for	
		protectiveness at this time.	4/18/05 and	
		UDEQ expects to receive the	4/16/06.	
		2003 fph data in April 2004.		
2) Tar seep	- BP will remove	BP removed 1,080 lbs of tar	BP removed	BP
discovered	top two feet of	material on 8/19/02 and	1,080 lbs of tar	
south of the	waste material,	backfilled and reseeded the	material on	
Sludge Pit.	dispose of waste	area. Subsequent inspections	8/19/02 and	
	according to all	have not detected additional tar	backfilled and	
	regulations,	material at the surface. As no	reseeded the	
	replace with clean	new tar has been discovered,	area.	
	fill and revegitate.	UDEQ does not have concerns	Subsequent	
	All future	about protectiveness.	inspections	
	discoveries of tar		have not	
	seeps will be		detected	
	documented and		additional tar	
	addressed in the		material at the	
	same manner.		surface.	
3) No records	- BP will coordinate	BP contacted SLC Corporation	The ICCA was	BP
of ICCA or	with the SLC	in September 2002. The ICCA	filed in 1985	
deed	Corporation to	was filed in 1985 with the Salt	with the Salt	
restrictions for	record the chain-	Lake County Recorder. BP	Lake County	
RPSP at the	of-title for the	provided UDEQ with a copy of	Recorder. BP	
SLC County	RPSP property at	the first page of the ICCA from	provided	
Recorders	the SLC Recorders	Recorder Book 5687 page 402.	UDEQ with a	
office	Office.	UDEQ does not have any	copy of the	
		concerns about protectiveness.	first page of	
			the ICCA from	
			Recorder Book	
			5687 page 402	